



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,412		07/29/2003	Kevin R. Triplett	RM566a	7241
23996	7590	01/07/2005		EXAMINER	
RICK MA				ROSE, RO	BERT A
PATENT LAW OFFICES OF RICK MARTIN, PC 416 COFFMAN STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LONGMONT, CO 80501				3723	

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/629,412	TRIPLETT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert Rose	3723				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE   - External after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reper period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 E	December 2004.	·				
-	·	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Is have been received in Applicationity documents have been received  U (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2)  Notic 3)  Inform Pape	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 1/16/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/629,412 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Prior Art Statement(2 pages), filed January 16, 2004.

- 2. Claims 1-8 are presented for examination.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, lines 14-15 the phrase "the horizontal conveyor" is without proper antecedent support. In claim 2, lines 3-4 "the attachment" lacks an antecedent basis. In claim 7, lines 6, and 9, "the blade" is without proper antecedent support. In claim 7, line 13 the phrase "the circular blade" is without proper antecedent support. In claim 8, lines 3-4 "the blade" lacks proper antecedent basis.
- 5. Claims 1-8 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jennings et al is cited to show a rock sawing apparatus with endless conveyor and side guide rails. Ronzanni is cited of interest to show a rock sawing apparatus with conveyor and sets of saw blades capable of cutting at right angles to each other. Bein is cited of interest to show a rock sawing apparatus having a means for controlling the speed of a conveyor motor based on an inverse relation to the load on the blade motor. Backer is cited of interest to show an infeeding device for a

Art Unit: 3723

grinding machine which is responsive to load on the grinding wheel motor, to vary the infeed rate. Corbin(British 842982 is cited to show a rock sawing machine with two sets of saw blades oriented at right angles to each other for cutting away corner edges of the stone.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at telephone number (571) 272-4494.

Rr

December 10, 2004.

Robert Rose Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723